Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,125	SWEDBERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
1) <u>NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE</u> .	(3)	
2) <u>E. John Fain</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 January 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representat	tive]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Gershony</u> .	•	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□] N/A
and pointed out how the proposed amendment might out discussion of the prior art rejection along with the proposition of the prior art rejection along with the proposition of the prior art rejection along with the proposition of the amendation of the available, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGING NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW on reverse side or on attached sheet.	endments which the examiner o copy of the amendments that hed.) E ACTION MUST INCLUDE T the last Office action has alreader OF ONE MONTH OR THIS NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORI	agreed would render the claims at would render the claims HE SUBSTANCE OF THE ady been filed, APPLICANT IS RTY DAYS FROM THIS M, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	·	